# ORDINANCE No. 178482

\*Amend contract with Portland Aerial Transportation, Inc. for design, project management, expert tram advisory and peer review services for the Portland Aerial Tram. (Ordinance; amend Contract No. 35021)

The City of Portland ordains:

Section 1. The Council finds:

- 1. The City Council, on November 13, 2002, adopted Resolution No. 36112 approving the Design Development Phase work program for the Marquam Hill Aerial Tram, including the proposed approach for selecting a design team through an international design competition.
- 2. Portland Aerial Transportation, Inc. (PATI) has been recognized as the project sponsor for the Portland Aerial Tram by the City Council in Resolution 36071, adopted on May 23, 2002.
- 3. The City Council, on January 8, 2003, approved Ordinance #177185, authorizing a contract with PATI for a design competition for the Portland Aerial Tram.
- 4. PATI and the City successfully managed a design competition for the Aerial Tram, resulting in the selection of an architecture firm (Angelil Graham Pfeninger Scholl) to lead the tram design team.
- 5. The Portland Development Commission, incorporating City Council's advice, approved the South Waterfront Central District Project Development Agreement on August 13, 2003.
- 6. The South Waterfront Central District Project Development Agreement requires that the aerial tram project be complete and operational concurrent with occupancy of the first OHSU building in South Waterfront, which is currently under construction. In order to deliver the project on schedule, construction documents for the project must be completed by December 31, 2004.
- 7. Contract #35021, adopted by Ordinance #177797 on August 14, 2003, between the City and PATI provides design, project management, and expert advisory services for the Portland Aerial Tram through the Design Development Phase of project engineering and design.
- 8. The City and PATI have negotiated an amendment to their agreement for professional services, which will carry the Portland Aerial Tram project through final design. The Amendment, attached as Exhibit A, details the services to be provided. The City funding for the project will amount to 18.18% of the total project cost, and OHSU will fund the remaining 81.82%.
  - 1

## Agenda No.

# ORDINANCE NO.

Title

\*Amend contract with Portland Aerial Transportation, Inc. for design, project management, expert tram advisory and peer review services for the Portland Aerial Tram. (Ordinance; amend Contract No. 35021)

INTRODUCED BY	Filed:
Mayor Vera Katz NOTED BY COMMISSIONER	Gary Blackmer Auditor of the City of Portland
Affairs	
Finance and Administration	Ву:
2.41	Deputy
Safety Utilities	For Meeting of: <u>June 10, 2004</u> Time Certain 3:30pm ACTION TAKEN:
Works	
BUREAU APPROVAL	
Bureau: Transportation Engineering & Development	
Prepared by Matt Brown:sig	
Budget Impact Review W Completed Not Required	
Included PPD: Yes X No	
Filename: PATI Amend 061004.doc	
Bureau Head: Donald Gardner	

AGENDA Consent Regular XX NOTED BY		FOUR-FIFTHS AGENDA	COMMISSIONERS VOTED AS FOLLOWS:			
				YEAS	NAYS	
		Francesconi	Francesconi			
City Attorney		Leonard	Leonard			
City Auditor		Saltzman	Saltzman			
City Engineer		Sten	Sten			
Approved by:		d by: Katz				

## CONTRACT NO. 35021 AMENDMENT NO. 1 AGREEMENT FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

This Amendment modifies the Agreement for Professional Services (City Contract No. 35021) between the City of Portland, Office of Transportation ("City") and Portland Aerial Transportation, Inc. ("PATI") dated September 15, 2003.

#### AGREEMENT

## Section 3. COMPENSATION

REFERENCE: The paragraphs 1,2 and 3 are hereby deleted in their entirety and replaced with the following:

The total compensation for performing the Design Services as outlined in Section I of Exhibit I is \$2,557,247.00. In addition, up to \$75,000.00 in reimbursable expenses inclusive of a 1.1 multiplier are authorized hereunder. Actual final compensation will be determined as stipulated in Exhibit 4.

The Total Maximum Compensation for carrying out the Expert Tram Advisory Services outlined in Exhibit 1 shall not exceed \$60,000.00 including labor and direct expenses.

The Total Maximum Compensation for carrying out the Project Management Services Scope of Work outlined in Exhibit 1 shall not exceed \$310,000.00 inclusive of direct expenses.

The Total Maximum Compensation for carrying out Peer Review Services outlined in Exhibit 1 shall not exceed \$50,000.00 inclusive of direct expenses.

#### Section 5. EFFECTIVE AND TERMINATION DATES

The termination date for this Agreement is hereby extended to July 1, 2006.

The following language is added to this section:

The City, upon review and acceptance of the 100% Design Development Documents, will issue Notice to Proceed with Construction Documents.

The City and Contractor agree to complete negotiation of Amendment No. 2 for Construction Administration Services within 45 calendar days of the effective date of this Amendment. If agreement is not reached within this time limit then work under Section I – Design Services of Exhibit No. 1 will

be suspended. The City Project Manager and Contractor may mutually agree in writing to an extension of the negotiation period stated above if they deem it appropriate.

Exhibits.

Exhibits No. 1, 2, 3 and 4 of the original contract are deleted in their entirety and replaced with the attached Exhibits 1, 2, 3 and 4.

ALL OTHER PROVISIONS OF THE AGREEMENT REMAIN UNCHANGED.

AMENDMENT NO. 1, AGREED THIS 22 DAY OF JUNE 2004.

Portland Aerial Transportation, Inc.

Patrick L. LaCrosse, President

City of Portland

Bv MAYOR

Blackmenter A Attest

Approved as to form:

APPROVED AS TO FORM CITY ATTORNEY

## LIST OF EXHIBITS

2

The second se

EXHIBIT 1	Scope of Work for Professional Services
EXHIBIT 2	Estimated Cost
EXHIBIT 3	Schedule
EXHIBIT 4	<b>Basis of Fee Calculation</b>

## EXHIBIT 1 PORTLAND AERIAL TRANSPORTATION, INC. SCOPE OF WORK FOR PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

June 10, 2004

## SECTION I – DESIGN SERVICES

**PROGRAM ELEMENTS:** There are three distinct but interrelated design programs to be carried out under the contract for Professional Services. These are:

- Tramway: This element includes the base terminal station in South Waterfront, Gibbs Street between SW Moody and SW Bond Avenues, the intermediate support tower, the upper terminus and connection to the OHSU Patient Care Facility. This work includes design of all tram components in conjunction with the supplier who will be chosen through an alternative contracting process during schematic design.
- Pedestrian Bridge: This element is for the design of a pedestrian bridge crossing Interstate 5 within the extended right-of-way of SW Gibbs Street. For purposes of this work, the existing 60-foot right-of-way will be augmented by dedication of 25-foot easements on either side.
- SW Gibbs Street Corridor: This element includes the design of those portions of SW Gibbs Street between SW Macadam Avenue and the Willamette Greenway excepting only the section between SW Moody and Bond Avenues included in the tramway element. For purposes of this work, the existing 60-foot right-of-way will be augmented by dedication of 25-foot easements on either side.

**PHASING:** This contract for Professional Services is phased to accommodate funding uncertainties with respect to the pedestrian bridge and SW Gibbs Street Corridor as outlined below:

- Tramway: Completion of Bidding Phase
- Pedestrian Bridge: Completion of Schematic Design
- SW Gibbs Street Corridor: Completion of Schematic Design

## WORK EFFORT & PRODUCTS:

## **Project Administration Services**

The Contractor shall manage the Contractor's services and administer the Project Design Team. The Contractor shall consult with the City, research applicable design criteria, attend Project meetings and issue progress reports. The Contractor shall coordinate the services provided by the Contractor and the Contractor's consultants with those services provided by the City and its consultants.

The Contractor shall consider the value of alternative materials, building systems and equipment, together with other considerations based on program, budget, and aesthetics in development the design for the Project.

The Contractor shall submit design documents to the City at intervals appropriate to the design process for purposes of evaluation and approval by the City. The Contractor shall be entitled to rely on approvals received from the City in the further development of the design.

The Contractor shall assist the City in connection with its responsibility for filing documents required for the approval of governmental authorities having jurisdiction over the Project.

#### **Evaluation of Budget**

The Estimated Cost of the project, not inclusive of tramway equipment, is established in Exhibit 2. As the design progresses through the end of the Construction Documents, the Contractor, through its consultants, shall update and refine the estimate of the cost of the work. The Contractor shall advise the City of any adjustments to previous estimates of the cost of the work. If at any time the Contractor's estimate of the cost of the work exceeds the City's budget, the Contractor shall make appropriate recommendations to adjust the Project's size, quality, or budget.

Evaluations of the City's budget for the Project and updated estimates of the cost of the work represent the Contractor's consultant's judgment as design professionals familiar with the construction industry. Neither the Contractor, nor Contractor's consultants can warrant or represent that bids or negotiated prices will not vary from the City's budget for the project or from any estimate of the cost of the work prepared or agreed to by the Contractor.

#### Schematic Design

The Contractor shall provide Schematic Design Documents based on the mutually agreed upon program, schedule, and budget for the cost of the work. The documents shall establish the conceptual design of the project illustrating the scale and relationship of the project components. The Schematic Design Documents shall include a site plan and preliminary building plans, sections, and elevations, as well as study models. Preliminary selections of major building systems and construction materials shall be included. A Schematic Cost Estimate will be prepared by a Cost Estimator at the conclusion of the Schematic Design phase.

#### **Design Development**

The Contractor shall provide Design Development documents based on the approved Schematic Design documents and updated budget for the cost of the work. The Design Development documents shall illustrate and describe the refinement of the design of the project, establishing the scope, relationships, forms, size and appearance of the project by means of plans, sections and elevations, typical construction details, and equipment layouts. The Design Development documents shall include specifications that identify major materials and systems and establish in general their quality levels. An updated Cost Estimate will be prepared by a Cost Estimator at the conclusion of the Design Development phase.

#### **Construction Documents**

The Contractor shall provide the City Engineer with a stamped, signed and approved set of Construction Documents based on the approved Design Development Documents and updated budget for the project. The Construction Documents shall set forth in detail the requirements for construction of the Project. The Construction Documents shall include Drawings and Specifications that establish in detail the quality levels of materials and systems required for the Project. The Contractor also shall compile the Project Manual that includes the Conditions of the Contract for Construction and Specifications.

## **Construction Procurement Services**

The Contractor shall assist the City in obtaining negotiated proposals and shall assist the City in awarding and preparing contracts for construction.

The Contractor shall evaluate bids and proposals and prepare recommendations of bid award to the assist the City in its negotiations with prospective or selected contractors.

Proposal Documents shall consist of proposal requirements, proposed contract forms, General Conditions and Supplementary Conditions, Specifications and Drawings.

If requested by the City, the Contractor shall arrange for procuring the reproduction of Proposal Documents for distribution to prospective contractors. The City shall pay directly for the cost of reproduction or shall reimburse the Contractor for such expenses.

If requested by the City, the Contractor shall organize and participate in selection interviews with prospective contractors.

## Changes in Services

Changes in the Scope of Work under this Agreement may become necessary for unforeseen reasons or circumstances. No work related to such changes shall be performed and no expense shall be incurred by the Contractor or its consultants prior to obtaining written agreement from the City regarding adjustment, if any, to compensation or schedule.

## PROJECT DELIVERABLES

## I. <u>Submittal Milestones</u>

- 1. 50% Schematic Design- Progress Review
- 2. 100% Schematic Design
- 3. 50% Design Development Progress Set
- 4. 100% Design Development
- 5. 50% Construction Documents
- 6. 90% Construction Documents
- 7. 100% Construction Documents

## II. Submittal Standards by Design Planning Phase

## A. SCHEMATIC DESIGN

## 1. <u>Program</u>

Program list with BGSF per program area

## 2. Architectural

- a) All Plans in imperial scale at 1/8" or appropriate scale
- b) Other detailed plans as needed to verify critical areas
- c) Building sections
- d) Building elevations
- e) Preliminary building materials
- f) Preliminary connection through Patient Care Facility to and including Sam Jackson Square, if approved under separate scope of work
- g) Present study models and renderings as necessary (all of which whose Ownership will be retained by the Contractor)
- h) Preliminary design strategy for tram car, produced in dialog with tram car supplier
- Specifications Tram System Narrative
  - Landscape Design

4.

- a) Preliminary Landscape plan where applicable within the Gibb street right of way
- b) Preliminary exterior lighting plan
- c) Landscape narrative
- 5. <u>Structural</u>
  - a) Preliminary Structural Scheme
  - b) Narrative Analysis of system
- 6. <u>Civil Engineering</u>
  - a) Site plan
  - b) Preliminary topographic plans and grading design
  - c) Preliminary upper and lower terminus site coordinated with existing buildings, roads, utilities, etc.
- 7. Mechanical: Plumbing/Piping
  - a) Diagrams of Proposed Systems
- 8. Mechanical: HVAC
  - a) Diagrams of Proposed Systems
- 9. <u>Electrical: Power Distribution</u>
  - a) Diagrams of Proposed Systems, including Diagram of Normal and Emergency Power Distribution Systems
  - b) Panel(s) location
- 10. Cost Estimate
  - a) Prepare cost estimate
- 12. <u>Project Schedule</u> Assist PATI in reviewing Preliminary Project Schedule coordinating all activities

#### DESIGN DEVELOPMENT

B.

- 1. <u>Program Verification</u>
  - a) BGSF per project area
- 2. Architectural
  - a) Life Safety Plan complete. Preliminary plan prepared and reviewed by local fire authorities
  - b) Floor Plans; (scales as necessary)
  - c) Building Sections
  - d) Exterior Building Elevations
  - e) Interior Elevations
  - f) Reflected Ceiling Plans
  - g) Materials for exterior and public area interiors

- h) Connection through Patient Care Facility to and including Sam Jackson Square, if approved under separate scope of work, including all fire assemblies as necessary
- Present study models and renderings as necessary (all of which whose Ownership will be retained by the Contractor)
- j) Line diagram for sprinkler layout
- k) Line diagram for voice / data in conjunction with manufacturer specifications
- Design development for tram car, produced in dialog with tram car supplier
- 3. Interior Design of Public Areas
  - Built-in Casework defined as to type, quality/ finishes, as necessary
  - b) Room finish schedules
- Specifications
  - a) Outline: All Construction Specifications Institute Sections. Preliminary specifications for basic materials, systems and special finishes
  - b) Manufacturer's Cut-Sheets on plumbing, mechanical and electrical equipment, including light fixtures
  - c) Updated System Narrative of mechanical, electrical plumbing and fire protection
- 5. <u>Civil Engineering</u>
  - a) Site improvement, utility, grading/drainage, erosion control plans
  - b) Vehicular/emergency/service access
  - c) Upper and lower terminus site coordinate with existing buildings, roads, utilities, etc.
- 6. Landscape Design
  - a) Planting and general layout plans
  - b) Coordinate site lighting with electrical
- 7. <u>Structural</u>
  - a) Structural Sections
  - b) All Floor Framing Plans
  - c) Preliminary Calculations, Investigation and/or regulatory requirements.
- 8. <u>Mechanical</u>
  - a) Piping Plans
  - b) Life Cycle Cost Analysis (as required)
  - c) Preliminary Calculations

- d) One Line Duct Layout Complete
- e) Equipment List 100% Complete
- f) All Equipment Located
- 9. <u>Mechanical: Fire Protection</u>a) Location of Risers, pressure and flow

## 10. <u>Electrical: Lighting</u> Exterior Building Lighting Design

a) Interior Building Lighting Design

## 11. <u>Electrical: Power Distribution</u>

- a) Equipment Layout sizes
- b) Power Riser Diagram
- c) Load Calculations/Estimates
- 12. Fire Detection System
  - a) Device locations
  - b) Panel locations
- 13. Cost Estimate / Schedule
  - a) Provide cost estimate for design completed.
  - b) Assist City in reviewing Preliminary Project Schedule coordinating all activities

## CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS

1. Notice to Proceed

Prior to commencing work on the construction document phase, the Contractor shall have received written notice from the City that the Design Development products have been received and approved as consistent with this Scope of Services and previously approved design products.

#### 2. Drawings

C.

The Contractor shall provide a highly defined, thorough, well-conceived and complete set of final drawings and architectural/engineering calculations for the Project. The drawings shall include, but are not necessarily limited to, plans, sections, elevations, and details coordinating all building systems. The drawings shall coordinate all architectural and engineering disciplines, including the tramway equipment interface and equipment, in sufficient quality and detail to allow for construction and accurate bidding of the project.

3. Specifications

The Contractor shall provide specifications setting forth in detail the requirements for construction of the Project, exclusive of the tramway equipment beyond the equipment interface or points of attachment. The specifications will be compiled and formatted to supplement the City's Standard Specifications for Construction.

## 4. Cost Estimate

The Contractor shall provide a final estimate of construction cost to assist City in negotiation of the final construction contract price.

## D. CONSTRUCTION PROCUREMENT SERVICES (BIDDING)

The Contractor shall provide to the City such documentation, memorandums and information as may be necessary to carry out the scope of work outlined herein.

## SECTION II – EXPERT TRAM ADVISORY SERVICES

Contractor shall provide for the services of a qualified tramway engineer to serve as an expert advisor to the City and Contractor through the Schematic and Design Development Phases of the project. The work to be performed by the expert advisor includes:

- Review of the adequacy and quality of information received from the Tramway Equipment Supplier regarding all technical aspects of the project.
- Advise the City and Contractor on regarding appropriate technical specifications for the project.
- Review cost estimates provided by the Tramway Equipment Supplier and Contractor.
- Advise the City and Contractor on issues related to equipment delivery schedules and lead-time requirements.
- Provide such other advisory services as the City Project Manager and/or PATI shall request within the limits of the compensation provided for in this Agreement.

## SECTION III - PROJECT MANAGEMENT SERVICES

Contractor shall provide Project Management Services as required for completion of the Schematic and Design development Phases of the Project. This work includes:

- Management and oversight of the Design Services identified in Section I.
- Management and oversight of Expert Tram Advisory Services identified in Section II.
- Development of a "Request for Proposals" (RFP) document consistent with procurement policies of the City for selection of a General Contractor/Construction Manager.
- Assist City in formation of a Contractor Selection Committee to be appointed by the Commissioner-in-Charge. Manage all communications and administrative matters related to the committee. Develop appropriate rating materials and scoring methodology for the committee.
- Assist City in securing air rights leases as required over Oregon Department of Transportation facilities.
- Determine and resolve issues related to the regulatory and permitting requirements for the Project.
- Assist City with on-going management, coordination, funding, design, operations planning, and contract preparation as directed by the City Project Manager.
- Provide on-going support for the Contractor's volunteer Board of Directors.
- Provide for normal and customary administrative functions of the Contractor.

## SECTION IV - PEER REVIEW SERVICES

Contractor shall provide for the services of such professional experts, to provide peer review of architectural/engineering calculations, specifications and drawings, as the City Engineer may determine necessary. The Contractor, working in conjunction with the Contractor's consultants and such professional experts, will assist City in resolution of any issues arising from peer review.

# Exhibit 2 Estimated Cost

PROGRAM ELEMENT	ESTIMATED COST
Tram	\$16,175,921
Pedestrian Bridge	\$ 3,618,424
SW Gibbs Street Corridor	\$ 2,634,618
TOTAL	\$22,428,963

er Q		1	E	d Aerial Tram (HIBIT 3 ct Schedule	4						
D	Task Name	Duration	Start	Finish	Apr Jul Oct	2004 Jan Apr	Jul Oct	2005 Jan Ap	r Jul I Oct	2006 t Jan Apr	-
1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	100					·	1		1	1
2	Design	357 days	Thu 8/14/03	Fri 12/24/04					1. A		1
3	50% Schematic Design	90 days	Thu 8/14/03	Wed 12/17/03		L					1
4	100% Schematic Design	46 days	Thu 12/18/03	Thu 2/19/04				. · · ·	a ~ -		L
5	50% Design Development	49 days	Fri 2/20/04	Wed 4/28/04							1
6	100% Design Development	37 daya	Thu 4/29/04	Fri 6/18/04			h.				
7	50% Construction Documents	70 days	Mon 6/21/04	Fri 9/24/04			h	S.C.			
B	90% Construction Documents	46 days	Mon 9/27/04	Mon 11/29/04				<u>]</u> .		1	
9	100% Construction Documents	19 days	Tue 11/30/04	Frl 12/24/04		1		1			1
0		1	and a strength of the strength of the				. · · · · ·		÷.	1	
1	Equipment Supply	425 days	Tue 6/1/04	Mon 1/16/06	11	U			A WARD IN THE REAL	÷.	1
2	Design	100 days	Tue 6/1/04	Mon 10/18/04	2.6	ì	· .	1 d a		<b>1</b>	1
3	Fabrication	235 days	Tue 10/19/04	Mon 9/12/05		Ē		1			
4	Installation	90 days	Tue 9/13/05	Mon 1/16/06			-	1			1
5									S. 14	T. I.	
6	Bidding Assistance	20 days	Mon 12/13/04	Fri 1/7/05				1	1		1
7						1		1			
8 .	Construction	330 days	Mon 12/27/04	Fri 3/31/06				1			
9	Finalize Contract	20 days	Mon 12/27/04	Frl 1/21/05				<b>1</b> .	5 300 38		
0	Terminals and Tower	142 days	Mon 1/24/05	Tue 8/9/05		1		TT.			
1	Installation	105 days	Wed 8/10/05	Tue 1/3/06					and the second second	1. K	
2	Finish	40 days	Wed 1/4/06	Tue 2/28/06				17		12	
3	Testing and Certification	23 days	Wed 3/1/06	Fri 3/31/06			$(x, \bar{x})$				
				•	· *			• •,			
	Task		Mileston	•	Exter	nal Tasks	$\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}_{2^{n}}^{1},\mathbb{Q}_{2^{n}}^{1}][0]$				]
te: Tr	Project1 Split		Summar	y y	Exten	nal Mileston	ie 🔶			- 68	
	Progres	is <b>Electro</b>	Project S	Summary	. Dead	line	夺	3 <b>5</b>			
				Page 1	1. 	2101		1			1
14						11				1	
			1 B						- 19 A		

»

.

Carlor P

## EXHIBIT 4 BASIS OF FEE CALCULATION

## **DEFINITIONS:**

Architect: "Architect" as used herein refers to the Contractor's consultant architect responsible for overall design of the project.

Consultant: "Consultant(s)" as used herein refers to the architect's consultants.

## **FEE BASIS:**

Probable cost estimates for each of the three Program Elements (tramway, public plaza, & pedestrian bridge) are contained in Exhibit 2, Estimated Costs. The Estimated Cost indicated for the Tram does not include the cost of the supply and installation of tramway equipment by Doppelmayr-CTEC for purposes of the following fee calculation methodology:

The Maximum Total Compensation (MTC) due Architect under this contract shall not exceed 9% of the total estimated construction cost pro-rated to the project phases of as follows:

Schematic Design	15% of MTC
Design Development	20% of MTC
Construction Documents	40% of MTC
Bidding/Negotiation	3% of MTC
Construction Administration	22% of MTC
Total	100%

The Maximum Total Compensation (MTC) due consultants under this contract shall not exceed 8% of the total estimated construction cost pro-rated to the phases of the project as shown above exclusive of Doppelmayr Interface Fees. Fees for each phase and program element shall not exceed those shown on the attached fee schedule.

## **DOPPELMAYR INTERFACE FEES:**

Fixed fees for the Architect and Consultants for coordination with Doppelmayr-CTEC are established in the Fee Schedule. The Doppelmayr Interface Fee is compensation for coordination and design interface between the Architect and Consultant and Doppelmayr-CTEC in of lieu of application of fixed percentage fees as outlined above.

## **REIMBURSABLE EXPENSES:**

Architect's and Consultant's reimbursable expenses for travel, printing, renderings, models, postage and shipping will be compensated at Contractor's cost.

# SCHEDULE OF FEES

Based upon the cost estimates contained in Exhibit 2, the following schedule of fees has been prepared.

COST         © 15%         © 20%         © 40%         © 3%         © 22%           RAM         SE PROJECT         \$14,892,214		CURRENT CONTRACT	8			AMENDMEN	IT No. 1	FUTURE AI
COST         © 15%         © 20%         © 40%         © 3%         © 22%           RAM         SE PROJECT         \$14,892,214		ESTIMATED	TOTAL FEE	SD FEE	DD FEE	CD FEE	BID FEE	CA FEE
RAM         SE PROJECT         \$14,892,214           ASE PROJECT         \$10,033,707           HORING         \$250,000           OTAL TRAM         \$16,175,521         \$2,749,807         \$412,486         \$\$49,981         \$1,099,963         \$82,497         \$604,975           EDESTRIAN BRIDGE         \$3,618,424         \$522,70         \$92,270         \$92,270         \$90,963         \$82,497         \$604,975           OTAL TRAM         \$10,755,221         \$2,243,618         \$57,183         \$1,099,963         \$82,497         \$604,975           OTAL ALL PROVEMENTS         \$2,2428,963         \$2,909,360         \$571,839         \$549,981         \$1,099,963         \$82,497         \$604,975           OPPELMAYR INTERFACE FEES         AGPS         166,288         24,943         33,258         66,515         4,989         36,556           ARUP         157,900         23,685         31,580         63,160         4,737         \$320,281           ARIMUM ALLOWABLE TOTAL FEES         \$3,23,548         \$620,567         \$614,819         \$12,29,638         \$92,222         \$576,307           GPS ADCHITECTURE @ 9% (See Note 1 below)         Architecture         \$332,791         \$291,167         \$582,833         \$44,664         \$356,665				@ 15%	@ 20%	@40%	@ 3%	@ 22%
LEVATOR \$1,033,707 HORING \$250,000 OTAL TRAM \$16,175,921 \$2,749,907 \$412,486 \$549,981 \$1,099,963 \$82,497 \$604,975 EDESTRIAN BRIDGE \$3,618,424 \$52,270 \$52,270 S02,270 \$52,270 \$52,270 \$52,270 S02,270 \$52,270 \$52,270 \$52,7133 \$567,183 OTAL ALL PROVEMENTS \$2,2428,963 \$2,909,360 \$577,183 \$1,099,963 \$42,497 \$604,975 OTAL ALL PROVEMENTS \$2,2428,963 \$2,909,360 \$577,193 \$549,961 \$1,099,963 \$42,497 \$604,975 OTAL ALL PROJECTS \$22,428,963 \$2,909,360 \$577,193 \$549,961 \$1,099,963 \$42,497 \$604,975 OTAL ALL PROJECTS \$22,428,963 \$2,909,360 \$577,193 \$549,961 \$1,099,963 \$42,497 \$60,477 OTAL TRAM NITERFACE FEES AGPS 166,288 24,943 33,258 \$65,515 4,989 \$6,585 AGPS ACHITECTURE 9 9% (See Note 1 below) A7,737 34,738 AXIMUM ALLOWABLE TOTAL FEES \$3,233,548 \$620,567 \$614,819 \$1,229,638 \$92,223 \$676,307 TEES BY ENTITY GPS ARCHITECTURE 9 9% (See Note 1 below) Architecture 5302,791 \$291,167 AGPS-Doppelmayr Interface \$24,943 \$33,258 \$66,515 \$4,989 \$306,585 GGPS Architecture Total \$327,734 \$322,424 \$648,848 \$46,664 \$356,661 \$200,000 \$311,840 \$19,283 \$24,000 \$3,000 \$21,000 Globs Condor \$9,500 \$311,840 \$19,283 \$12,200 \$20,000 \$311,840 \$19,283 \$12,520 \$68,000 \$510,000 \$311,840 \$19,283 \$12,520 \$50,000 \$21,000 \$311,000 \$310,000 \$310,000 \$310,000 \$42,000 \$24,000 \$22,000 \$24,000 \$22,000 \$24,150 \$10,000 \$28,000 \$22,000 \$24,150 \$10,000 \$22,000 \$24,000 \$24,000 \$24,000 \$24,000 \$24,000 \$24,000 \$24,000 \$24,000 \$24,000 \$24,000	TRAM							
LEVATOR \$1,033,707 HORING \$250,000 OTAL TRAM \$16,175,921 \$2,749,907 \$412,486 \$549,951 \$1,099,963 \$82,497 EDESTIGAN BRIDGE \$3,615,424 \$22,270 \$92,270 DOTAL TRAM PROVEMENTS \$2,834,618 \$67,183 \$67,183 DOTAL ALL PROJECTS \$22,428,963 \$2,909,360 \$571,939 \$549,961 \$1,099,963 \$82,497 S00PELMAYR INTERFACE FEES AGAPS AGPS ARCHITECTURE 40 \$2,270 \$2,885 \$1,590 65,515 4,989 \$6,515 ARIUP 157,900 23,685 \$31,580 65,515 4,989 \$6,536 ARIUP 157,900 23,685 31,580 63,160 4,737 34,738 AXIMUM ALLOWABLE TOTAL FEES \$3,233,548 \$620,567 \$614,819 \$1,229,638 \$92,223 \$676,307 TEES BY ENTITY GPS ARCHITECTURE 40 \$% (See Note 1 below) Architecture Total \$302,791 \$291,167 S582,333 \$43,675 \$320,286 GGPS Architecture Total \$327,734 \$322,424 \$648,644 \$346,664 \$356,657 S0NSULTANTS 40 \$8,500 \$521,000 \$34,000 \$30,000 \$21,000 Gibbs Condor \$9,500 Tram \$20,000 \$950,000 \$311,840 \$19,283 \$12,520 \$508,510 \$10,000 Tram \$20,000 \$950,000 \$311,840 \$19,283 \$12,520 \$508,000 \$21,000 Gibbs Condor \$9,500 Tram \$13,050 \$45,150 \$12,500 \$50,000 \$21,000 Tram \$13,050 \$45,150 \$12,500 \$10,000 Tram \$10,000 \$15,000 \$40,000 Pedestrian Bridge \$10,000 Tram \$10,000 \$15,000 \$42,000 \$22,000 CONSULTANT FE TOTAL \$257,800 \$247,150 \$508,540 \$30,963 \$301,56 CONSULTANT FE TOTAL \$257,800 \$247,150 \$508,540 \$3	BASE PROJECT	\$14,892,214						
HORING <u>\$250,000</u> OTAL TRAM <u>\$16,175,921</u> \$2,749,907 \$412,486 \$349,861 \$1,099,963 \$82,497 \$604,975 EDESTRIAN BRIDGE \$3,616,424 \$592,270 \$92,270 DIBBS STREET IMPROVEMENTS <u>\$2,634,619 \$67,183 \$67,183</u> OTAL ALL PROJECTS <u>\$22,428,963</u> \$2,909,360 \$571,939 \$549,961 \$1,099,963 \$82,497 \$604,975 OTAL ALL PROJECTS <u>\$22,428,963</u> \$2,909,360 \$571,939 \$549,961 \$1,099,963 \$82,497 \$604,975 OTAL ALL PROJECTS <u>\$22,428,963</u> \$2,909,360 \$571,939 \$549,961 \$1,099,963 \$82,497 \$604,975 AGPS <u>157,900 23,685 31,580 63,160 4,773 34,733</u> ARXIMUM ALLOWABLE TOTAL FEES <u>\$3,233,548 \$620,567 \$614,819 \$1,229,638 \$92,223</u> \$676,307 TEES BY ENTITY GPS ARCHITECTURE @ 9% (See Note 1 below) Architecture Total <u>\$302,791 \$291,167</u> \$582,333 \$43,575 \$320,285 GGPS Landscape Architecture Total <u>\$327,734 \$324,424</u> \$648,848 \$46,664 \$356,861 CONSULTANTS @ 855,050 \$31,000 \$59,000 \$311,840 \$19,283 \$125,260 Pedestrian Bridge \$50,000 KRUP- Mech/Elec.Engineering Tram <u>\$112,200 \$26,500</u> \$311,840 \$19,283 \$125,260 Pedestrian Bridge \$13,050 \$10,000 RUP- Mech/Elec.Engineering Tram <u>\$13,050 \$45,150 \$12,500 \$311,840 \$19,283 \$125,260</u> Pedestrian Bridge \$13,050 \$45,150 \$12,500 \$31,000 \$22,000 RUP- Mech/Elec.Engineering Tram <u>\$13,050 \$45,150 \$12,500 \$31,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$10,000 \$42,000 \$42,000 \$22,000 \$31,000 \$22,000 \$310,000 \$22,000 \$310,000 \$22,000 \$310,000 \$10</u>	ELEVATOR							
OTAL TRAM         \$16,175,921         \$2,749,907         \$412,486         \$549,981         \$1,099,963         \$82,497         \$604,975           EDESTRIAN BRIDGE         \$3,613,424         \$92,270         \$92,270         \$92,270         \$92,270         \$92,270         \$92,270         \$92,270         \$92,270         \$92,270         \$92,270         \$92,497         \$604,975           OTAL ALL PROVEMENTS         \$2,2,428,963         \$2,2,09,360         \$571,839         \$549,981         \$1,099,963         \$92,497         \$604,975           OPPELMAYR INTERFACE FEES         \$22,428,963         \$2,909,360         \$571,839         \$549,981         \$1,099,963         \$92,497         \$404,973           AGPS         157,900         23,885         31,580         66,515         4,989         96,583           ARUP         157,900         23,885         \$12,29,638         \$92,223         \$676,301           EES BY ENTITY         \$30,2791         \$291,167         \$582,333         \$43,675         \$320,286           KIGPS Anchitecture         \$30,2714         \$324,424         \$664,846         \$46,664         \$356,861           CPS Landscape Architecture         \$32,7734         \$324,424         \$648,846         \$46,664         \$356,861           CMS	SHORING							
BIBBS STREET IMPROVEMENTS         \$22,634,618         \$67,183         \$67,183         \$67,183           OTAL ALL PROJECTS         \$22,428,963         \$2,909,360         \$571,939         \$549,981         \$1,099,963         \$82,497         \$604,975           OOPPELMAYR INTERFACE FEES         AGPS         166,288         24,943         33,258         66,515         4,989         36,583           ARUP         157,900         23,685         31,580         63,160         4,737         34,738           IAXIMUM ALLOWABLE TOTAL FEES         \$3,233,548         \$620,567         \$614,819         \$1,229,638         \$92,223         \$676,307           TEES BY ENTITY	TOTAL TRAM	and the second sec	\$2,749,907	\$412,486	\$549,981	\$1,099,963	\$82,497	\$604,979
OTAL ALL PROJECTS         \$22,428,963         \$2,909,360         \$571,939         \$549,961         \$1,099,963         \$82,497         \$604,975           OOPPELMAYR INTERFACE FEES         AGPS         166,288         24,943         33,258         66,515         4,989         36,583           ARUP         157,900         23,685         31,680         63,160         4,777         34,734           AAXIMUM ALLOWABLE TOTAL FEES         \$3,233,548         \$620,567         \$614,819         \$1,229,638         \$92,223         \$676,301           YEES BY ENTITY         Y         Y         Y         \$302,791         \$291,167         \$582,333         \$43,675         \$320,286           ACRINECTURE @ 9% (See Note 1 below)         Architecture         \$302,791         \$291,167         \$582,333         \$43,675         \$320,286           AGPS-Oppelmayr Interface         \$24,943         \$32,734         \$324,424         \$648,648         \$346,664         \$356,861           CONSULTANTS @ 8% (See Note 2 below)         KGPS Anchitecture         \$32,000         \$311,840         \$19,283         \$12,526           CONSULTANTS @ 8% (See Note 2 below)         KGPS Anchitecture         \$33,000         \$21,000         \$311,840         \$19,283         \$12,526           Tram <t< td=""><td>PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE</td><td>\$3,618,424</td><td>\$92,270</td><td>\$92,270</td><td>1905.48</td><td>10.08</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE	\$3,618,424	\$92,270	\$92,270	1905.48	10.08		
DOPPELMAYR INTERFACE FEES ARUP         166,288 157,900         24,943 23,685         33,235 31,580         66,515 63,160         4,989 4,737         34,733           MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE TOTAL FEES         \$3,233,548         \$620,567         \$614,819         \$1,229,638         \$92,223         \$676,301           MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE TOTAL FEES         \$3,233,548         \$620,567         \$614,819         \$1,229,638         \$92,223         \$676,301           TEES BY ENTITY         XGPS ARCHITECTURE @ 9% (See Note 1 below)         XGPS ARCHITECTURE @ 9% (See Note 1 below)         XGPS ARCHITECTURE Total         \$202,791         \$291,107         \$582,333         \$43,675         \$320,281           AGPS ARCHITECTURE @ 9% (See Note 1 below)         XGPS Architecture Total         \$327,734         \$224,424         \$648,648         \$48,664         \$356,861           CONSULTANTS @ 8% (See Note 2 below)         XGPS Landscape Architecture         XGPS Landscape Architecture         XGPS Landscape Architecture         XGPS Landscape Architecture         \$311,840         \$19,263         \$125,260         \$34,000         \$311,840         \$19,263         \$125,260         \$21,000         \$21,000         \$22,900         \$22,500         \$22,500         \$22,500         \$22,500         \$22,500         \$21,000         \$10,000         \$10,000         \$10,000         \$10,000         \$10,0	GIBBS STREET IMPROVEMENTS						2	
AGPS       166,288       24,943       33,258       66,515       4,989       36,583         ARUP       157,900       23,685       31,580       63,160       4,737       34,735         IAXIMUM ALLOWABLE TOTAL FEES       \$3,233,548       \$62,0567       \$614,819       \$1,229,638       \$92,223       \$676,301         IEES BY ENTITY	TOTAL ALL PROJECTS	\$22,428,963	\$2,909,360	\$571,939	\$549,981	\$1,099,963	\$82,497	\$604,979
ARUP         157,900         23,885         31,580         63,160         4,737         34,733           AXIMUM ALLOWABLE TOTAL FEES         \$3,23,548         \$620,567         \$614,819         \$1,229,638         \$92,223         \$676,301           TEES BY ENTITY         Architecture         \$302,791         \$291,167         \$582,333         \$43,675         \$320,285           AGPS-Doppelmayr Interface         \$24,943         \$33,258         \$66,515         \$4,989         \$36,685           COPS Architecture Total         \$327,734         \$324,424         \$648,848         \$48,664         \$356,865           CONSULTANTS @ 8% (See Note 2 below)         KGPS Architecture         \$327,734         \$324,424         \$648,848         \$48,664         \$356,865           CONSULTANTS @ 8% (See Note 2 below)         KGPS Landscape Architecture         \$311,840         \$19,263         \$12,526           Tram         \$12,200         \$26,500         \$34,000         \$30,000         \$21,000           Gibbs Corridor         \$9,500         \$311,840         \$19,263         \$12,526           RUP-Acoustical engineering         \$13,050         \$45,150         \$10,000         \$10,000           Tram         \$13,050         \$45,150         \$12,500         \$104,00	DOPPELMAYR INTERFACE FEES							
ARUP         157,900         23,685         31,580         63,160         4,737         34,736           AXXIMUM ALLOWABLE TOTAL FEES         \$3,233,548         \$620,567         \$614,619         \$1,229,638         \$92,223         \$676,301           HES BY ENTITY         Image: Sign of the sign	AGPS		166,288	24,943	33,258	66,515	4,989	36,583
TEES BY ENTITY           MGPS ARCHITECTURE @ 9% (See Note 1 below)         Architecture         \$302,791         \$291,167         \$582,333         \$43,675         \$320,283           AGPS-Doppelmayr Interface         \$24,943         \$33,258         \$665,515         \$4,989         \$36,583           IGPS Architecture Total         \$327,734         \$322,424         \$648,848         \$48,664         \$356,867           20NSULTANTS @ 8% (See Note 2 below)         GBS Londscape Architecture         \$32,000         \$34,000         \$3,000         \$21,000           Gibbs Corridor         \$9,500         \$311,840         \$19,263         \$12,526           RUP         Stacpineering         \$30,000         \$95,000         \$311,840         \$19,263         \$12,526           RUP- Mach/Elec.Engineering         Tram         \$80,000         \$95,000         \$311,840         \$19,263         \$12,526           RUP- Mach/Elec.Engineering         Tram         \$13,050         \$45,150         \$12,500         \$29,000           RUP- Acoustical engineering         \$13,050         \$45,150         \$12,500         \$104,000           Pedestrian Bridge         \$10,000         \$10,000         \$10,000         \$3,700         \$22,300           Gram         \$34,000 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>34,738</td></t<>								34,738
GPS ARCHITECTURE @ 9% (See Note 1 below)           Architecture         \$302,791         \$291,167         \$582,333         \$43,675         \$302,833           AGPS-Doppelmayr Interface         \$24,943         \$33,258         \$66,515         \$4,969         \$33,583           KGPS Architecture Total         \$327,734         \$324,424         \$648,848         \$48,664         \$356,867           CONSULTANTS @ 8% (See Note 2 below)         GBS         \$32,7734         \$324,424         \$648,848         \$48,664         \$356,867           CONSULTANTS @ 8% (See Note 2 below)         GBS         \$34,000         \$3,000         \$21,000           GIbbs Corridor         \$9,500         \$311,840         \$19,263         \$125,266           Padestrian Bridge         \$50,000         \$311,840         \$19,263         \$125,266           Padestrian Bridge         \$50,000         \$27,500         \$68,000         \$5,000           RUP- Mech/Elec.Engineering         Tram         \$13,050         \$45,150         \$12,500         \$104,000           Padestrian Bridge         \$13,050         \$40,000         \$3,700         \$22,30         \$3,700         \$22,30           RUP- Acoustical engineering         Tram         \$34,000         \$42,200         \$3,700         \$22,30	MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE TOTAL F	EES	\$3,233,548	\$620,567	\$614,819	\$1,229,638	\$92,223	\$676,301
Architecture       \$302,791       \$291,167       \$582,333       \$43,675       \$302,803         AGPS-Doppelmayr Interface       \$24,943       \$33,258       \$66,515       \$4,989       \$36,585         GPS Architecture Total       \$327,734       \$324,424       \$646,848       \$48,664       \$356,665         CONSULTANTS @ 8% (See Note 2 below)       KGPS Landscape Architecture       \$12,200       \$26,500       \$34,000       \$3,000       \$21,000         Gibbs Corridor       \$9,500       \$34,000       \$30,000       \$21,000         RUP       Tram       \$12,200       \$26,500       \$34,000       \$3,000       \$21,000         Tram       \$10,000       \$35,000       \$311,840       \$19,263       \$125,266         VRUP-Mech/Elec.Engineering       Tram       \$22,500       \$27,500       \$68,000       \$5,000         Tram       \$13,050       \$45,150       \$12,500       \$104,000       \$104,000         Pedestrian Bridge       \$13,050       \$44,150       \$12,500       \$33,700       \$22,300         RuP- Acoustical engineering       Tram       \$34,000       \$28,000       \$42,200       \$3,700       \$22,300         Pedestrian Bridge       \$5,000       \$46,000       \$42,200       \$3,700	FEES BY ENTITY							
AGPS-Doppelmayr Interface         \$24,943         \$33,258         \$66,515         \$4,989         \$36,583           NGPS Architecture Total         \$327,734         \$324,424         \$648,848         \$48,664         \$356,867           CONSULTANTS @ 8% (See Note 2 below)         SGPS Landscape Architecture         \$12,200         \$26,500         \$34,000         \$3,000         \$21,000           Gibbs Corridor         \$9,500         \$34,000         \$3,000         \$21,000         \$30,000         \$21,000         \$311,840         \$19,263         \$125,260           RUP         Tram         \$80,000         \$95,000         \$311,840         \$19,263         \$125,260           RUP- Mech/Elec.Engineering         Tram         \$22,500         \$27,500         \$68,000         \$5,000         \$29,00           RUP- Acoustical engineering         Tram         \$13,050         \$45,150         \$12,500         \$104,00           Tram         \$13,050         \$45,150         \$12,500         \$22,300         \$42,200         \$3,700         \$22,300           Tram         \$34,000         \$28,000         \$42,200         \$3,700         \$22,300         \$42,200         \$3,700         \$22,300           Tram         \$34,000         \$10,000         \$10,000         <	AGPS ARCHITECTURE @ 9% (Se	e Note 1 below)						
IGPS Architecture Total         \$327,734         \$324,424         \$648,848         \$48,664         \$356,867           IGPS Architecture Tram         \$12,200         \$26,500         \$34,000         \$3,000         \$21,000           Gibbs Corridor         \$9,500         \$34,000         \$30,000         \$21,000           RUP         Tram         \$80,000         \$95,000         \$311,840         \$19,263         \$125,260           Pedestrian Bridge         \$50,000         \$311,840         \$19,263         \$125,260         \$28,000         \$29,00           ARUP- Mech/Elec.Engineering         \$13,050         \$45,150         \$12,500         \$29,00         \$29,00           ARUP- Acoustical engineering         \$13,050         \$45,150         \$12,500         \$104,000           Tram         \$13,050         \$45,150         \$12,500         \$104,000           Pedestrian Bridge         \$13,050         \$45,150         \$12,500         \$104,000           Tram         \$34,000         \$28,000         \$42,200         \$3,700         \$22,300           Pedestrian Bridge         \$5,000         \$40,000         \$42,200         \$3,700         \$22,300           Gibbs Corridor         \$6,000         \$40,0000         \$40,000         \$40,000<	Architecture			\$302,791	\$291,167	\$582,333	\$43,675	\$320,283
NGPS Architecture Total         \$327,734         \$324,424         \$648,848         \$48,664         \$356,867           CONSULTANTS @ 8% (See Note 2 below)         NGPS Landscape Architecture         \$34,000         \$3,000         \$21,000           Gibbs Corridor         \$9,500         \$34,000         \$30,000         \$21,000           RUP         Tram         \$80,000         \$95,000         \$311,840         \$19,263         \$125,260           Pedestrian Bridge         \$50,000         \$311,840         \$19,263         \$125,260         \$29,00           RUP- Mech/Elec.Engineering         Tram         \$22,500         \$27,500         \$68,000         \$5,000         \$29,00           RUP- Mech/Elec.Engineering         Tram         \$13,050         \$45,150         \$12,500         \$104,000           Tram         \$13,050         \$45,150         \$12,500         \$104,000         \$104,000           Tram         \$34,000         \$28,000         \$42,200         \$3,700         \$22,300           Tram         \$34,000         \$28,000         \$42,200         \$3,700         \$22,300           Tram         \$34,000         \$28,000         \$40,000         \$40,000         \$22,300           DAVIS LANGDON ADAMSON         \$2,500         \$40	AGPS-Doppelmayr Interface	2011 (L. L. L		\$24,943	\$33,258	\$66,515	\$4,989	\$36,583
AGPS Landscape Architecture         \$12,200         \$26,500         \$34,000         \$3,000         \$21,000           Gibbs Corridor         \$9,500         \$311,840         \$19,263         \$125,260         \$311,840         \$19,263         \$125,260           NRUP         Tram         \$80,000         \$95,000         \$311,840         \$19,263         \$125,260           RUP-Mech/Elec.Engineering         Tram         \$22,500         \$27,500         \$68,000         \$5,000         \$29,000           GeoDesign         Tram         \$13,050         \$45,150         \$12,500         \$104,000           Pedestrian Bridge         \$13,050         \$45,150         \$12,500         \$104,000           ARUP- Acoustical engineering         \$13,050         \$45,150         \$12,500         \$104,000           Tram         \$34,000         \$28,000         \$42,200         \$3,700         \$22,300           WAH PACIFIC         Tram         \$34,000         \$42,200         \$3,700         \$22,300           Gibbs Corridor         \$6,000         \$40,000         \$40,000         \$22,300         \$40,000           Pedestrian Bridge         \$2,500         \$40,000         \$40,000         \$40,000         \$40,000         \$40,000         \$40,000	AGPS Architecture Total			\$327,734	\$324,424	\$648,848	\$48,664	\$356,867
AGPS Landscape Architecture         \$12,200         \$26,500         \$34,000         \$3,000         \$21,000           Gibbs Corridor         \$9,500         \$311,840         \$19,263         \$125,260         \$311,840         \$19,263         \$125,260           NRUP         Tram         \$80,000         \$95,000         \$311,840         \$19,263         \$125,260           RUP-Mech/Elec.Engineering         Tram         \$22,500         \$27,500         \$68,000         \$5,000         \$29,000           GeoDesign         Tram         \$13,050         \$45,150         \$12,500         \$104,000           Pedestrian Bridge         \$13,050         \$45,150         \$12,500         \$104,000           ARUP- Acoustical engineering         \$13,050         \$45,150         \$12,500         \$104,000           Tram         \$34,000         \$28,000         \$42,200         \$3,700         \$22,300           WAH PACIFIC         Tram         \$34,000         \$42,200         \$3,700         \$22,300           Gibbs Corridor         \$6,000         \$40,000         \$40,000         \$22,300         \$40,000           Pedestrian Bridge         \$2,500         \$40,000         \$40,000         \$40,000         \$40,000         \$40,000         \$40,000	CONSULTANTS @ 8% (See Note 2	2 below)						
Tram       \$12,200       \$26,500       \$34,000       \$3,000       \$21,000         Gibbs Corridor       \$9,500       \$311,840       \$19,263       \$125,260         ARUP       Se0,000       \$95,000       \$311,840       \$19,263       \$125,260         Pedestrian Bridge       \$50,000       \$311,840       \$19,263       \$125,260         ARUP- Mech/Elec.Engineering       \$22,500       \$27,500       \$68,000       \$5,000         Arun       \$13,050       \$45,150       \$12,500       \$104,000         Pedestrian Bridge       \$13,050       \$45,150       \$12,500       \$104,000         ARUP- Acoustical engineering       \$13,050       \$45,150       \$12,500       \$104,000         Tram       \$34,000       \$28,000       \$42,200       \$3,700       \$22,300         Tram       \$34,000       \$28,000       \$42,200       \$3,700       \$22,300         Tram       \$34,000       \$28,000       \$42,200       \$3,700       \$22,300         Pedestrian Bridge       \$5,000       \$40,000       \$40,000       \$22,300         DAVIS LANGDON ADAMSON       Tram       \$10,000       \$15,000       \$40,000       \$40,000         Pedestrian Bridge       \$2,500       \$247,15					8			
Gibbs Corridor         \$9,500         \$311,840         \$19,263         \$125,263           Pedestrian Bridge         \$50,000         \$311,840         \$19,263         \$125,263           Pedestrian Bridge         \$50,000         \$68,000         \$5,000         \$229,00           ARUP- Mech/Elec.Engineering         \$22,500         \$27,500         \$68,000         \$5,000         \$29,00           Areup- Mech/Elec.Engineering         \$13,050         \$45,150         \$12,500         \$104,00           Pedestrian Bridge         \$13,050         \$45,150         \$12,500         \$104,00           Areup- Acoustical engineering         \$10,000         \$10,000         \$10,000         \$10,000           Tram         \$34,000         \$28,000         \$42,200         \$3,700         \$22,30           M&H PACIFIC         Tram         \$34,000         \$28,000         \$42,200         \$3,700         \$22,30           Tram         \$34,000         \$10,000         \$15,000         \$40,000         \$22,30           DAVIS LANGDON ADAMSON         Tram         \$10,000         \$15,000         \$40,000         \$40,000           Tram         \$2,500         \$247,150         \$508,540         \$30,963         \$301,560	날 가장 같은 것 같은 것이 같이 많이 가 것이 같이 안 했다. 한 것 사람은 것 같이 같이 같이 같이 같이 같이 같이 없다. 것 같이 많이 많이 없다. 것 같이 많이 많이 없다. 것 같이 많이 많이 많이 없다. 것 같이 많이 많이 없다. 것 같이 많이 많이 없다. 것 같이 없다. 않는 것 같이 없다. 것 같이 없다. 것 같이 없다. 것 같이 않다. 않는 것 같이 없다. 것 같이 없다. 것 같이 없다. 것 같이 않다. 것 같이 않다. 것 같이 않다. 것 같이 않다. 것 같이 없다. 것 같이 않다. 것 같이 없다. 것 같이 없다. 것 같이 없다. 것 같이 없다. 것 같이 않다. 것 같이 없다. 것 같이 않다. 않다. 것 같이 않다. 것 않다. 것 같이 않다. 않다. 것 같이 않다. 않다. 않다. 않다. 않다. 것 같이 않다. 않다. 않다. 않다. 않다. 않다. 것 않다.			\$12,200	\$26,500	\$34,000	\$3.000	\$21,000
ARUP       \$80,000       \$95,000       \$311,840       \$19,263       \$125,261         Pedestrian Bridge       \$50,000       \$311,840       \$19,263       \$125,261         ARUP- Mech/Elec.Engineering       \$22,500       \$27,500       \$68,000       \$5,000         GeoDesign       \$13,050       \$45,150       \$12,500       \$104,00         Tram       \$13,050       \$45,150       \$12,500       \$104,00         Pedestrian Bridge       \$13,050       \$45,150       \$12,500       \$104,00         Tram       \$34,000       \$28,000       \$42,200       \$3,700       \$22,300         Pedestrian Bridge       \$5,000       \$40,000       \$40,000       \$22,300         DAVIS LANGDON ADAMSON       Tram       \$10,000       \$15,000       \$40,000         Tram       \$10,000       \$15,000       \$40,000       \$40,000       \$40,000         Pedestrian Bridge       <					4001010		******	
Tram       \$80,000       \$95,000       \$311,840       \$19,263       \$125,261         Pedestrian Bridge       \$50,000       \$27,500       \$68,000       \$5,000       \$29,00         ARUP- Mech/Elec.Engineering       \$22,500       \$27,500       \$68,000       \$5,000       \$29,00         GeoDesign       \$13,050       \$45,150       \$12,500       \$104,00         Tram       \$13,050       \$45,150       \$12,500       \$104,00         Pedestrian Bridge       \$13,050       \$45,150       \$12,500       \$104,00         ARUP- Acoustical engineering       \$10,000       \$10,000       \$10,000       \$10,000         Tram       \$34,000       \$28,000       \$42,200       \$3,700       \$22,300         Pedestrian Bridge       \$55,000       \$40,000       \$22,300         Pedestrian Bridge       \$50,000       \$40,000       \$22,300         DAVIS LANGDON ADAMSON       Tram       \$10,000       \$15,000       \$40,000         Tram       \$10,000       \$15,000       \$40,000       \$40,000       \$22,300         Pedestrian Bridge       \$2,500       \$40,000       \$40,000       \$40,000       \$40,000       \$40,000       \$40,000       \$40,000       \$40,000       \$40,000       <				40,000				
Pedestrian Bridge       \$50,000         ARUP- Mech/Elec.Engineering       ************************************				\$80,000	\$95,000	\$311.840	\$19,263	\$125.262
ARUP- Mech/Elec.Engineering       \$22,500       \$27,500       \$68,000       \$5,000       \$29,00         GeoDesign       \$13,050       \$45,150       \$12,500       \$104,00         Tram       \$13,050       \$45,150       \$12,500       \$104,00         Pedestrian Bridge       \$13,050       \$42,200       \$3,700       \$22,300         Tram       \$34,000       \$28,000       \$42,200       \$3,700       \$22,300         Pedestrian Bridge       \$5,000       \$42,200       \$3,700       \$22,300         Gibbs Corridor       \$6,000       \$40,000       \$22,300         DAVIS LANGDON ADAMSON       Tram       \$10,000       \$15,000       \$40,000         Tram       \$10,000       \$15,000       \$40,000       \$40,000       \$40,000         Pedestrian Bridge       \$2,500       \$40,000       \$40,000       \$40,000       \$40,000       \$40,000         CONSULTANT FEE TOTAL       \$257,800       \$247,150       \$508,540       \$30,963       \$301,56						1		
Tram       \$22,500       \$27,500       \$68,000       \$5,000       \$29,00         GeoDesign       \$13,050       \$45,150       \$12,500       \$104,00         Pedestrian Bridge       \$13,050       \$12,500       \$104,00         ARUP- Acoustical engineering       \$10,000       \$12,500       \$104,00         Tram       \$34,000       \$28,000       \$42,200       \$3,700       \$22,30         W&H PACIFIC       Tram       \$34,000       \$28,000       \$42,200       \$3,700       \$22,300         Pedestrian Bridge       \$5,000       \$40,000       \$40,000       \$22,300       \$40,000       \$40,000         DAVIS LANGDON ADAMSON       Tram       \$10,000       \$15,000       \$40,000       \$40,000       \$40,000         Pedestrian Bridge       \$2,500       \$40,000				400,000				_
GeoDesign         \$13,050         \$45,150         \$12,500         \$104,00           Pedestrian Bridge         \$13,050         \$10,000         \$10,000         \$10,000         \$10,000         \$10,000         \$10,000         \$10,000         \$10,000         \$10,000         \$10,000         \$10,000         \$10,000         \$10,000         \$10,000         \$10,000         \$10,000         \$10,000         \$12,500         \$22,300         \$42,200         \$3,700         \$22,300         \$22,300         \$42,200         \$3,700         \$22,300         \$22,300         \$40,000         \$22,300         \$40,000         \$22,300         \$40,000         \$22,300         \$40,000         \$22,300         \$40,000         \$22,300         \$40,000         \$22,300         \$40,000         \$22,300         \$40,000         \$22,300         \$40,000         \$22,300         \$40,000         \$40,000         \$22,300         \$40,000	2012년 1월 1일 - 1999년 1월 1999년 1월 1997년 1 1월 1997년 1월 1			\$22 500	\$27 500	\$68.000	\$5.000	\$29.000
Tram       \$13,050       \$45,150       \$12,500       \$104,00         Pedestrian Bridge       \$13,050       \$10,000       \$10,000       \$10,000         Tram       \$34,000       \$28,000       \$42,200       \$3,700       \$22,300         W&H PACIFIC       \$34,000       \$28,000       \$42,200       \$3,700       \$22,300         Tram       \$34,000       \$28,000       \$42,200       \$3,700       \$22,300         Pedestrian Bridge       \$5,000       \$40,000       \$22,300         Gibbs Corridor       \$6,000       \$40,000       \$22,300         DAVIS LANGDON ADAMSON       Tram       \$10,000       \$15,000       \$40,000         Tram       \$10,000       \$15,000       \$40,000       \$40,000       \$40,000         Pedestrian Bridge       \$2,500       \$40,000       \$40,000       \$40,000       \$40,000         CONSULTANT FEE TOTAL       \$257,800       \$247,150       \$508,540       \$30,963       \$301,56				ψες,000	ψω1,000	000,000	40,000	\$20,000
Pedestrian Bridge         \$13,050           ARUP- Acoustical engineering         \$10,000           Tram         \$10,000           W&H PACIFIC         \$34,000         \$28,000           Tram         \$34,000         \$28,000         \$42,200         \$3,700           Pedestrian Bridge         \$5,000         \$42,200         \$3,700         \$22,300           Gibbs Corridor         \$6,000         \$40,000         \$22,300           DAVIS LANGDON ADAMSON         Tram         \$10,000         \$15,000         \$40,000           Tram         \$10,000         \$15,000         \$40,000         \$40,000           Pedestrian Bridge         \$2,500         \$40,000         \$40,000         \$40,000	and the second			\$13.050	\$45 150	\$12 500		\$104.000
ARUP- Acoustical engineering         \$10,000           Tram         \$34,000         \$28,000         \$42,200         \$3,700         \$22,300           W&H PACIFIC         Tram         \$34,000         \$28,000         \$42,200         \$3,700         \$22,300           Pedestrian Bridge         \$5,000         \$6,000         \$40,000         \$22,300         \$40,000					ψ <del>1</del> 0,100	1 412,000		
Tram       \$34,000       \$28,000       \$42,200       \$3,700       \$22,300         Pedestrian Bridge       \$5,000       \$42,200       \$3,700       \$22,300         Gibbs Corridor       \$6,000       \$40,000       \$20,000       \$40,000         DAVIS LANGDON ADAMSON       Tram       \$10,000       \$15,000       \$40,000         Pedestrian Bridge       \$2,500       \$40,000       \$40,000       \$40,000         CONSULTANT FEE TOTAL       \$257,800       \$247,150       \$508,540       \$30,963       \$301,560				φ10,000	\$10.000			
W&H PACIFIC         \$34,000         \$28,000         \$42,200         \$3,700         \$22,300           Pedestrian Bridge         \$5,000         \$6,000         \$40,000         \$22,300           Gibbs Corridor         \$6,000         \$40,000         \$40,000         \$22,500           Tram         \$10,000         \$15,000         \$40,000         \$30,963         \$301,560           CONSULTANT FEE TOTAL         \$257,800         \$247,150         \$508,540         \$30,963         \$301,560	270 771				\$10,000	1.0		
Tram       \$34,000       \$28,000       \$42,200       \$3,700       \$22,30         Pedestrian Bridge       \$5,000       \$6,000       \$40,000       \$22,300         Gibbs Corridor       \$6,000       \$40,000       \$40,000       \$40,000         DAVIS LANGDON ADAMSON       \$22,500       \$40,000       \$40,000       \$40,000         Pedestrian Bridge       \$257,800       \$247,150       \$508,540       \$30,963       \$301,560								
Pedestrian Bridge         \$5,000           Gibbs Corridor         \$6,000           DAVIS LANGDON ADAMSON         *10,000           Tram         \$10,000         \$15,000           Pedestrian Bridge         \$2,500         \$40,000           CONSULTANT FEE TOTAL         \$257,800         \$247,150         \$508,540         \$30,963         \$301,560				\$34.000	\$29.000	SA2 200	\$3 700	\$22.300
Gibbs Corridor         \$6,000           DAVIS LANGDON ADAMSON         \$10,000         \$15,000           Tram         \$10,000         \$15,000           Pedestrian Bridge         \$2,500         \$40,000           CONSULTANT FEE TOTAL         \$257,800         \$247,150         \$508,540         \$30,963         \$301,560					\$20,00U	942,200	φ3,100	φεε,ου
DAVIS LANGDON ADAMSON         \$10,000         \$15,000           Tram         \$10,000         \$15,000           Pedestrian Bridge         \$2,500         \$40,000           CONSULTANT FEE TOTAL         \$257,800         \$247,150         \$508,540         \$30,963         \$301,560								
Tram         \$10,000         \$15,000         \$40,000           Pedestrian Bridge         \$2,500         \$40,000         \$2000<				\$6,000				
Pedestrian Bridge         \$2,500           CONSULTANT FEE TOTAL         \$257,800         \$247,150         \$508,540         \$30,963         \$301,560						0.0000		
CONSULTANT FEE TOTAL \$257,800 \$247,150 \$508,540 \$30,963 \$301,56					\$15,000	\$40,000		
	Pedestrian Bridge			\$2,500				
	CONSULTANT FEE TOTAL			\$257,800	\$247,150	\$508,540	\$30,963	\$301,56
ABUP Doppelmayr Interface Fee \$23,685 \$31,580  \$63,160 \$4,737  \$34,73	ARUP Doppelmayr Interface Fee			\$23,685	\$31,58	\$63,160	\$4,737	\$34,73

NOTE 1: Architectural Fees limited to 9% of estimated cost exclusive of Doppelmayr Coordination Fee

NOTE 2: Aggregated Consultant Fees limited to 8% of estimated cost exclusive of Doppelmayr Coordination Fee

1

# ORDINANCE No. 178482

\*Amend contract with Portland Aerial Transportation, Inc. for design, project management, expert tram advisory and peer review services for the Portland Aerial Tram. (Ordinance; amend Contract No. 35021)

The City of Portland ordains:

Section 1. The Council finds:

- 1. The City Council, on November 13, 2002, adopted Resolution No. 36112 approving the Design Development Phase work program for the Marquam Hill Aerial Tram, including the proposed approach for selecting a design team through an international design competition.
- 2. Portland Aerial Transportation, Inc. (PATI) has been recognized as the project sponsor for the Portland Aerial Tram by the City Council in Resolution 36071, adopted on May 23, 2002.
- 3. The City Council, on January 8, 2003, approved Ordinance #177185, authorizing a contract with PATI for a design competition for the Portland Aerial Tram.
- 4. PATI and the City successfully managed a design competition for the Aerial Tram, resulting in the selection of an architecture firm (Angelil Graham Pfeninger Scholl) to lead the tram design team.
- 5. The Portland Development Commission, incorporating City Council's advice, approved the South Waterfront Central District Project Development Agreement on August 13, 2003.
- 6. The South Waterfront Central District Project Development Agreement requires that the aerial tram project be complete and operational concurrent with occupancy of the first OHSU building in South Waterfront, which is currently under construction. In order to deliver the project on schedule, construction documents for the project must be completed by December 31, 2004.
- 7. Contract #35021, adopted by Ordinance #177797 on August 14, 2003, between the City and PATI provides design, project management, and expert advisory services for the Portland Aerial Tram through the Design Development Phase of project engineering and design.
- 8. The City and PATI have negotiated an amendment to their agreement for professional services, which will carry the Portland Aerial Tram project through final design. The Amendment, attached as Exhibit A, details the services to be provided. The City funding for the project will amount to 18.18% of the total project cost, and OHSU will fund the remaining 81.82%.

- 9. Compensation allowed under this agreement has been increased to a maximum amount of \$3,052,247. This increased compensation reflects the initiation of the construction document and bid assistance phases of design (\$1,321,861), extension of project management services (\$184,000), extension of tram expert advisory services (\$20,000) and provision of peer review services (\$50,000) for a total compensation increase of \$1,575,861.
- 10. Funding for OHSU's share of the cost will be provided through Agreement #34677, adopted by City Council Ordinance #178136 on January 8, 2004.
- 11. Funding for the City's share of the services described in Exhibit A is provided by the Portland Development Commission through an Intergovernmental Agreement with PDOT.

#### NOW, THEREFORE, the Council directs:

- a. The Mayor and the Auditor are hereby authorized to amend the contract with Portland Aerial Transportation, Inc., substantially in conformance with the attached Exhibit A.
- b. The Mayor and Auditor are hereby authorized to draw and deliver warrants to Portland Aerial Transportation, Inc., chargeable to the Transportation Fund.
- a. The City's Project Manager, with concurrence from the City's Purchasing Agent, is hereby authorized to agree to and execute, on behalf of the City, any amendment, which does not increase the amount of the Agreement.

Section 2. The Council declares that an emergency exists because a delay in executing the agreement will result in significant delays to the design and construction process for the aerial tram project and result in non-compliance with the City's contractual obligations under the South Waterfront Central District Project Development Agreement; therefore, this Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage by the Council.

Passed by the Council,

JUN 1 0 2004

Mayor Vera Katz Matt Brown:slg June 10, 2004 PATI Amendment 061004.doc

GARY BLACKMER Auditor of the City of Portland BY

DEPUTY

## PORTLAND OFFICE OF TRANSPORTATION COUNCIL CALENDAR ITEM

Council Calendar No.

Submitted for Council Consideration on: <u>Thursday, June 10, 2004 - 3:30 p.m. Time Certain,</u> Regular Agenda

<u>DESCRIPTION</u>: This ordinance amends the City's existing contract with Portland Aerial Transportation, Inc. (PATI) for the Portland Aerial Tram design, project management, and expert tram services for the Marquam Hill Aerial Tram project and provides for the City's share of funding for the design portion of the project.

<u>BACKGROUND</u>: A design competition for the tram was held in early 2003 as a means for selecting the design team for the aerial tram project. Angelil/Graham/Pfenninger/Scholl (AGPS) was chosen as the winner of the competition, and was subsequently engaged to produce schematic design and design development products for the tram project. This contract continues the relationship between PATI and the City and establishes the City's funding and project management obligations for the next phase of the project, which will entail the completion of the tram design and bidding of the project.

<u>ISSUES:</u> The existing contract is a sole source contract with PATI. Council previously waived the purchasing requirements of City Code section 5.68 to accommodate the design competition and ensure a selection process based on the quality and experience of the participating design firms.

The increased cost estimates for the project have resulted in substantial revisions to the Tram budget. Those revisions have been negotiated through a draft Third Amendment to the South Waterfront Central District Project Development Agreement. The Third Amendment is due to be presented to the PDC on June 9<sup>th</sup>, 2004, and City Council on June 10<sup>th</sup>, 2004, concurrent with this contract for design services, the initiation of LID proceedings for the aerial tram, and the approval of the tram design and associated neighborhood improvements by the City Council. Absent these related items, there may be some serious questioning of dedicating additional City resources to the project without an officially adopted revision to the Development Agreement Funding and Financing Plan.

POTENTIAL PROBLEMS: None.

**RECOMMENDATION:** Pass Ordinance

\_\_\_\_ Can be delayed \_\_ week(s), if necessary

Should be filed this week.

<u>x</u> Must be filed this week.

Fiscal Review by

X No impact at fund level

Impact on Fund

will attend Council session.

Contact Person Matt Brown, Project Manager

Phone No. 503-823-7027

Resume-Tram Design Comp 010803.doc

## City of Portland BUDGET/FINANCIAL COUNCIL ACTION IMPACT STATEMENT

1. Name of Initiator Matt Brown, Project Manager		2. Interoffice M 106/800	ail Address	3. Telephone No. 503-823-7027	4. Bureau/Office/Dept. PDOT/BTE&D/PMD
5a. To Be Filed (date) June 10, 2004	5b. Calend REGULA	lar (Check One R Consent	e ) 4/5ths	5.Date Submitted to OMF Budget Analyst: June 1.2004	6. Fund Name & Number Transportation Fund
				ounce 1,2001	

Please check appropriate box and list dollar amount.

If using electronic MS Word Version, underline appropriate category and type and list dollar amount after. (Opt.)

Cate	gory 1 No financial Impact [ ]		
Cate	gory 2 Routine and Budgeted Items [X]		
	Contracts Grants Call for bids on purchasing contracts Reports to Council regarding completion of projects	Annual Supply Contract Claims payment under \$15,000 Creation of a Local Improvement District Other	

#### Category 3 Non-Routine or Unbudgeted Item [ ]

SUMMARY OF ACTION: In concise terms, describe what is to take place through the enactment of this council action. Where applicable, narrative should include answers to the following questions. Add space as necessary below each question. Multiple page responses are acceptable if necessary to answer all relevant questions.

A. What action(s) is proposed?

B. Who will be affected by the proposed action? (List other City bureaus? Citizens? The business community?)

- C. What will the action cost? In this fiscal year? Subsequent year(s)? How much revenue will it generate? In this fiscal year? In subsequent year(s)? If there are indirect costs or future commitments implied as a necessary accompaniment or result of this action, include an estimate of these costs even if the action does not formally authorize any expenditure.
- D. Is the cost included in the current year's budget? If so, which Fund or AU? If not, identify funding sources and amounts -i.e., interagency, contingency/unforeseen, grants, administrative transfer, etc.
- E. What alternatives to this action have been explored?

D (Typed name and signature) UNI

Brant Williams, Director, Office of Transportation